

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,492	10/24/2000	Rajesh Shah	219.39026X00	9470
7590 10/31/2003			EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			MYERS, PAUL R	
Suite 1800	venteenth Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA			2189	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	, L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		À	SV					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•	09/694,492	SHAH, RAJE	SH					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Paul R. Myers	2189						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	August 2003 .							
	is action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/694,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2189

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Haley PN 5,884,036.

In regards to claims 1 and 8: Haley teaches a method comprising: performing a topology discovery of fundamental path elements of a cluster, including path links (Abstract); receiving a request from a client for information on the properties of the fundamental path elements of a path (topology information request), the request identifying at least a source and destination of the path; and sending to the client based on the request, the response providing the information on the properties for the fundamental path elements of the path, including one or more links between the source and destination (abstract).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2189

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spiegel et al PN 5,649,108 in view of Haley PN 5,884,036.

In regards to claims 1, 8 and 15-16: Spiegel et al teaches a method comprising: performing a topology discovery of a cluster that includes a plurality of ports (mapping); identifying all possible paths to each port from any other port (map); receiving a request from a client, (source) the request identifying a source and a destination of a path (Column 2 lines 39-41, 62-64 and Column 3 lines 31-36 and 62-67); and sending a response to the client based upon the request, the response identifying one or more links and switches between the source and the destination (Column 2 lines 39-41, 62-64 and Column 3 lines 31-36 and 62-67). Spiegel teaches the switches being ATM fabric switches. Spiegel et al does not expressly teach the requests including a request for information on the properties of the fundamental path element of a path. Haley teaches receiving a request from a client for information on the properties of the fundamental path elements of a path (topology information request). It would have been obvious to include a topology information request in the system of Spiegel et al because this would have allowed for quick network topology determination.

In response to claims 2, 11 and 17: Spiegel et al teaches selecting an alternate route.

In response to claims 3-4, 12-13 and 18-19: Spiegel et al teaches using the alternate route.

In response to claims 5, 10 and 20: Both Spiegel et al and Haley teach including Hop count and OOS.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

In response to claims 6 and 21: Spiegel et al teaches the use of Virtual ID's.

In response to claims 7, 14 and 22: Spiegel et al teaches identifying the order the links are traversed (figures 6).

In response to claim 9: Spiegel et al teaches the source being a host.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

  Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

  Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,492 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul R. Myers whose telephone number is 703 305 9656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 703 305 4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 3900.

PRM

October 27, 2003

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Paul R. Myer